1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	
7	JOHN HENRY ORTLIEB,
8	Plaintiff, Case No. 2:09-cv-02454-LDG-GWF
9	vs. ORDER
10	CITY OF HENDERSON, et al.,
11	Defendants.
12)
13	This matter is before the Court on the parties' failure to file a proposed Stipulated
14	Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. The Complaint (#1) in this matter was filed December 30,
15	2009. Defendants filed their Answer (#18) on March 23, 2011. Pursuant to LR 26-1, the parties
16	were required to meet and/or confer as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) within 30 days after the
17	first defendant answered or otherwise appeared, and 14 days thereafter to file a mandatory
18	stipulated discovery plan and scheduling order. To date, the parties have not complied.
19	Accordingly,
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties shall file a stipulated Discovery Plan and
21	Scheduling Order not later than May 23, 2011 in compliance with the provisions of LR 26-1 of the
22	Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.
23	DATED this 11th day of May, 2011.
24	
25	GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
26	United States Magistrate Judge
27	
28	